MYRTLE BEACH HIGH 3302 Robert Grissom Parkway Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 9-12 High School GRADES 1,140 Students ENROLLMENT Nona Kerr 843-448-7149 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 BOARD CHAIR Will Garland 843-358-8002 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 15 16 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 15 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

Myrtle Beach High 26010

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Excellent	N/A
2002	Excellent	Average	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Average	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	79.3	N/A	N/A	77.8	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	8.9	N/A	N/A	11.8	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	11.8	N/A	N/A	10.4	N/A	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004 Our School High Schools with

 Percent
 94.5%
 96.0%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP								
Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours							
23.6	16.2							
24.5	16.6							
64.2	53.4							
	23.6 24.5							

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	248	246		
Number of Diplomas	191	193		
Rate	77.0%	78.9%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS											
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		G	Graduation Rate					
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective				
All Students	219	94.5	212	23.6	248	77.0	YES				
Gender											
Male	110	95.5	106	19.8	125	72.0	N/A				
Female	106	93.4	106	27.4	123	82.1	N/A				
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	174	98.3	172	27.3	190	82.6	N/A				
African-American	36	75.0	31	0.0	45	48.9					
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	3	I/S	5	100.0	N/A				
Hispanic	7	100.0	4	I/S	8	87.5	N/A				
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				
Racial/Ethnic Group											
Non disabled	175	96.6	186	26.9	218	82.6	N/A				
Disabilities other than speech	44	86.4	26	0.0	30	36.7	N/A				
Migrant Status											
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A				
Non-migrant	219	94.5	212	23.6	N/A	N/A	N/A				
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	5	100.0	7	0.0	9	88.9	N/A				
Non-Limited English Proficient	213	94.8	205	24.4	239	76.6	N/A				
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsidized meals	54	88.9	48	2.1	65	55.4	N/A				
Full-pay meals	164	97.0	164	29.9	183	84.7	N/A				

Myrtle Beach High 2601010

HSAP PERFORMANCE				ш,					L,
	Enrollment 1st	ر اھ	% Below Basis	} /	/ ;	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	# J	% Tested	, \ 8	% Basic	% Proficient	,	رَ إِوْ ا	e E	Participation
	1 1 5	[] [[a]	/ ⁽⁰ / ₉	/ %	\{ \{ \(\text{p} \) \}	Agk	\varphi \var	3 / £ £	
	g [1]	/ ~	/ %	/ ``	/ %	/ %	18/19/2	/ & &	۱/چ
					/	/	<		
All Students	ish/Langua 253	ge Ans - 1 93.7	15.9	20.8	28.3	35.0	69.5	YES	l YE
Gender	200	00.1	10.0	20.0	20.0	00.0	00.0	120	
Male	119	95.0	20.8	19.8	26.4	33.0	68.9	N/A	N/
Female	134	92.5	11.7	21.7	30.0	36.7	70.0	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	183	94.0	11.5	13.9	32.7	41.8	80.0	YES	YE
African-American	54	96.3	26.5	42.9	18.4	12.2	38.8	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Hispanic	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I,
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	216	94.4	10.7	19.9	29.6	39.8	76.0	N/A	N
Disabled	37	89.2	50.0	26.7	20.0	3.3	26.7	I/S	I
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	253	93.7	15.9	20.8	28.3	35.0	69.5	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Non-Limited English Proficient	244	94.3	14.5	20.5	29.1	35.9	70.9	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	95	90.5	31.7	29.3	25.6	13.4	43.9	YES	N
Full-pay meals	158	95.6	6.9	16.0	29.9	47.2	84.0	N/A	N
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	253	92.9	16.1	23.7	28.1	32.1	67.4	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	119	94.1	19.0	20.0	26.7	34.3	64.8	N/A	N
emale	134	91.8	13.4	26.9	29.4	30.3	69.7	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	183	94.0	11.5	17.6	30.3	40.6	75.8	YES	YE
African-American	54	94.4	29.2	41.7	25.0	4.2	41.7	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Hispanic	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	- 1
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	216	93.5	8.2	25.3	30.4	36.1	74.7	N/A	N
Disabled	37	89.2	66.7	13.3	13.3	6.7	20.0	I/S	I
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N
Non-Migrant	253	92.9	16.1	23.7	28.1	32.1	67.4	N/A	N
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I
Non-Limited English Proficient	244	93.4	15.6	22.9	28.4	33.0	68.3	N/A	N
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	95	90.5	30.5	32.9	19.5	17.1	45.1	YES	N
Full-pay meals	158	94.3	7.7	18.3	33.1	40.8	80.3	N/A	N

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Myrtle Beach High				2601010
School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,140)				
Retention rate	5.5%	Up from 2.7%	7.6%	9.1%
Attendance rate	99.5%	Up from 96.0%	96.1%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	16.6%	Up from 12.6%	10.1%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	15.6%	Up from 13.9%	13.1%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade	6.5%	Down from 7.8%	7.6%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	5.5%	Up from 4.1%	2.1%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	14.6%	Up from 7.7%	14.5%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	77.5%		51.6%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	3.0%	No change	2.6%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	1.3%	Down from 1.7%	1.0%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	660	Up from 574	545	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	30.5%	Up from 23.0%	28.6%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	85.5%	Up from 71.0%	77.6%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	N/A	100.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 66)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	40.9%	Up from 39.1%	57.5%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	83.3%	Down from 88.4%	83.6%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	84.2%	N/A	91.4%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	5.3%		6.8%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	87.8% 97.1%	Down from 88.1% Up from 96.4%	88.5% 95.8%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$42,660	Down 0.5%	\$41,530	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	11.8 days	Up from 7.7 days	10.2 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.5	Up from 1.0	2.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	28.8 to 1	Up from 28.4 to 1	29.0 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	95.6%	Up from 91.4%	90.8%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,516	Up 1.6%	\$6,131	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	56.7%	Down from 57.1%	58.3%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	85.4% Yes	Down from 97.5% No change	90.9% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		87.9%	92.	0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	92.8%		1%	
	State Objective		Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	65.0%	Yes		
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es
**NOTE: The verification research uses not assemble to	I for the year rea	antad: tharafara the sount of his	bly gualified teachers n	

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Myrtle Beach High

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

2003-04 was certainly a stellar year for Myrtle Beach High School. The graduating class of 2004 qualifying for a SC High School diploma set the tone with 68% of the class maintaining a 3.0 GPA, 64% receiving a Palmetto Fellow, LIFE, or HOPE scholarship, 37% maintaining a 3.0 and scoring an 1100 or better on the SAT or 24 or better on the ACT, 3 students honored as National Merit Semi-Finalists, 1 student named National Merit Finalist, and students were awarded over \$4.7 million in scholarships. These accomplishments were made possible through the belief and commitment of our District, faculty, staff, and community that all students can achieve academic success when partnered with clear expectations and sound leadership.

Our academic focus is centered on using data to drive instruction and differentiating instruction to meet the needs of each student as mandated by the data. MAP testing enabled each teacher of students in grades nine and ten to examine student performance and growth throughout the year. READ180 was initiated in the spring term for 9th and 10th grade students needing assistance in the areas of reading and writing. Our math and English departments developed an HSAP program that was used in all classrooms to ensure that our students would meet State standards. Each teacher also gave an hour a week to offer tutorial services for students needing additional support.

Extracurricular activities continue to make a positive impact on students. Our SAT, Academic, Math, and Mock Trial teams were strong competitors on the local, regional, and state level. Once again we led Horry County in regional science fair winners. NJROTC received an invitation to compete at the national level and placed in the top 10% in their division. The band, orchestra, and choral programs all received the highest ratings in the school's history. Every varsity athletic team competed in post-season play with many teams, as well as individuals, competing past the regional level.

Our strength is still centered on the school-community partnerships. Organizations such as PTSO, School Improvement Council, Parent Forum, the Local Advisory Board, and the Myrtle Beach Educational Foundation provide input concerning academic performance and improvement, parent involvement, and school-community relations. They continue to be our guide toward academic and athletic excellence.

Nona Kerr, Principal Linda Warren, School Improvement Council Chairperson 2003-04

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	57	173	14				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	82.5%	63.0%	71.4%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	85.7%	77.1%	35.7%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	80.7%	80.7%	85.7%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	ut grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				